



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/021,660 02/10/98 BARON

M 1874/110

028120  
ROPES & GRAY  
ONE INTERNATIONAL PLACE  
BOSTON MA 02110-2624

HM22/0803

EXAMINER

KAUFMAN, C.

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1646

DATE MAILED:

08/03/01

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**

## Interview Summary

Application No.

09/021,660

Applicant(s)

BARON ET AL.

Examiner

Claire M. Kaufman

Art Unit

1646

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Claire M. Kaufman.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) David P. Halstead.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: \_\_\_\_\_.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: pending and submitted in amendment after final.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed reintroduction of matter deleted on 3/3/00 from page 22. Rephrasing of, for example, new proposed claim 83 as "further comprising contacting the cells with [a second compound comprising] a TGF- $\beta$  compound" would obviate a rejection under 112, 2nd. Discussed use of fragments in the method.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Claire M. Kaufman  
Examiner's signature, if required